Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|----------------|--|
| 10/516,921 | DIETZEN ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | | |

| | MATTHEW J. DANIELS | 1791 | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the d | correspondence add | ress | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>17 March 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | ALLOWANCE. | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperior Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Arno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire lates a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (IMONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | g date of the final rejection | n. | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ension and the corresponding amount of the hortened statutory period for reply original for the hortened statutory period for reply original for the hortened statutory period for reply original for the hortened statutory and the corresponding amount of the hortened statutory and the corresponding amount of the hortened statutory and the corresponding amount of the | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better | isideration and/or search (see NOT w); | ΓE below); | | |
| appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1.1.) | 16 and 41.33(a)). | | 27.01.004) | |
| The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all | · | | , | |
| non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-17 and 20-24. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | will not be entered, or b) 🔲 will | • | _ | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fails | s to provide a | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but See the enclosed response. | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: | |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other: | | | | |
| | /Matthew J. Daniels/ Primary Examiner, Art U | nit 1791 | | |
| | | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment combines limitations from at least two dependent claims into the independent claim in a claim scope which has not been previously considered and which would change the scope of all claims dependent thereon. These claim amendments would require further consideration. Additionally, it is unclear that the proposed combination of dependent claims is supported by the specification.